

# Barber paradox.

Created by logician Bertrand Russell.

Village with just 1 barber (a man), all men clean-shaven.

Barber announces:

“I shave all and only those men who do not shave themselves.”

Who shaves the barber?

Case 1: It's the barber.

Case 2: Somebody else.

Cannot answer that question in either case! Paradox!!!

# Russell's Paradox: Assuming Existence of Set of All Sets

Naive Set Theory: Any definable collection is a set.

$$\exists y \forall x (x \in y \iff P(x)) \tag{1}$$

$y$  is the set of elements that satisfies the proposition  $P(x)$ .

$$P(x) = x \notin x.$$

There exists a  $y$  that satisfies statement 1 for  $P(\cdot)$ .

Take  $x = y$ .

$$y \in y \iff y \notin y.$$

Contradiction!

# Is this stuff actually useful?

Problem 1: Verify that my program is correct!

Problem 2: Check that the compiler works correctly!  
(output program is equivalent to its input program)

How about.. Check that the compiler terminates on a certain input.

$HALT(P, I)$

$P$  - program

$I$  - input.

Determines if  $P(I)$  ( $P$  run on  $I$ ) halts or loops forever.

Notice:

Need a computer

...with the notion of a stored program!!!!

(not an adding machine! not a person and an adding machine.)

Program is a text string.

Text string can be an input to a program.

Program can be an input to a program.

# Implementing HALT.

$HALT(P, I)$

$P$  - program

$I$  - input.

Determines if  $P(I)$  ( $P$  run on  $I$ ) halts or loops forever.

Run  $P$  on  $I$  and check!

How long do you wait?

# Halt does not exist.

$HALT(P, I)$

$P$  - program

$I$  - input.

Determines if  $P(I)$  ( $P$  run on  $I$ ) halts or loops forever.

**Theorem:** There is no program HALT.

**Proof Idea:** Proof by contradiction, use self-reference.

# Halt and Turing.

**Proof:** Assume there is a program  $HALT(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Turing(P)

1. If  $HALT(P, P) = \text{"halts"}$ , then go into an infinite loop.
2. Otherwise, halt immediately.

Assumption: there is a program HALT.  
There is text that "is" the program HALT.  
There is text that is the program Turing.  
Can run Turing on Turing!

Does Turing(Turing) halt?

Case 1: Turing(Turing) halts

$\implies$  then  $HALT(\text{Turing}, \text{Turing}) = \text{halts}$

$\implies$  Turing(Turing) loops forever.

Case 2: Turing(Turing) loops forever

$\implies$  then  $HALT(\text{Turing}, \text{Turing}) \neq \text{halts}$

$\implies$  Turing(Turing) halts.

**Contradiction.** Program HALT does not exist!



## Another view of proof: diagonalization.

Any program is a fixed length string.

Fixed length strings are enumerable.

Program halts or not any input, which is a string.

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$\dots$
$P_1$	H	H	L	$\dots$
$P_2$	L	L	H	$\dots$
$P_3$	L	H	H	$\dots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$

Halt( $P,P$ ) - diagonal.

Turing - is **not** Halt.

and is different from every  $P_i$  on the diagonal.

Turing is not on list.  $\implies$  Turing is not a program.

But Turing can be constructed as a program if the program Halt exists.

**Halt does not exist!**



# Turing machine.

A Turing machine.

- an (infinite) tape with characters
- be in a state, and read a character
- move left, right, and/or write a character.

Universal Turing machine

- an interpreter program for a Turing machine
- where the tape could be a description of a ... [Turing machine!](#)

Now that's a computer! (not far from today's computers)



# Church, Gödel and Turing.

Church proved an equivalent theorem. (Previously.)

Used  $\lambda$  calculus....which is... a programming language!!!

Just like Python, C, Javascript, ....

Gödel: Incompleteness theorem.

Any formal system either is inconsistent or incomplete.

Inconsistent: A false sentence can be proven.

Incomplete: There is no proof for some sentence in the system.

Along the way: “built” computers out of arithmetic.

Showed that every mathematical statement corresponds to an  
....natural number!!!!

## Summary: computability.

Computer Programs are interesting objects.

Mathematical objects.

Formal Systems.

Computer Programs cannot completely “understand” computer programs.

Example: no computer program can tell if any other computer program HALTS.

Proof Idea: Diagonalization.

Program: Turing (or DIAGONAL) takes  $P$ .

Assume there is HALT.

DIAGONAL flips answer.

Loops if  $P$  halts, halts if  $P$  loops.

What does Turing do on turing? Doesn't loop or HALT.

HALT does not exist!



More on this topic in CS 172.

Computation is a lens for other action in the world.